INORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2121-2123

The Hydrogen-Bonding System in Deuterated Copper Fluorosilicate Tetrahydrate: a Neutron Diffraction Study

GÉRARD CHEVRIER AND ARSÈNE GOUKASSOV

Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, CE Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette CEDEX, France. E-mail: chevrier@bali.saclay.cea.fr

(Received 16 January 1996; accepted 19 March 1996)

Abstract

The structure of copper hexafluorosilicate-deuterium oxide (1/4), CuSiF₆.4D₂O, has been established by single-crystal neutron diffraction in order to describe the hydrogen-bonding system. It crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group $P2_1/c$. The structure comprises SiF₆ and Cu(D₂O)₄F₂ octahedra. A common F atom allows the formation of chains along the [101] direction; these chains are linked by hydrogen bonds.

Comment

Large crystals of the title compound with an estimated deuteration rate of 0.75 to 0.85 were prepared according to a technique described by Chevrier & Saint-James (1990). In spite of the fact that the method was the same as used to obtain deuterated hexahydrates such as CoSiF_{6.6}D₂O (Chevrier & Saint-James, 1990), MnSiF₆.6D₂O (Chevrier, 1991), MgSiF₆.6D₂O (Chevrier, 1992) and $Mg_{1-x}Fe_xSiF_{6.6}D_2O$ (Chevrier, 1994), we arrived at the lower hydrate CuSiF₆.4D₂O. The structure of the hydrogenated compound has been studied previously by X-ray diffraction using a Weissenberg camera (Clark, Fleming & Lynton, 1969), but the positional parameters of the H atoms were not obtained (R = 0.083). Therefore, we have used neutron diffraction to determine the position of all the atoms, especially the D atoms, and to understand the hydrogen bonding in all its details.

The final atomic coordinates of the non-H/D atoms do not differ fundamentally from the X-ray model (Clark, Fleming & Lynton, 1969), but the refinement of the D atoms leads to small corrections in their positions, of which the most important are z(F1) = 0.4529 (6), z(O1) = -0.1203 (7) and z(O2) = 0.0790 (7) (Table 1) compared to 0.4565 (7), -0.1224 (8) and 0.0815 (9), respectively, for the X-ray model.

In contrast to the hexahydrates, each Cu atom in the title compound is surrounded by only four D_2O molecules and two F atoms complete the octahedron.

This octahedron is very elongated, as seen from Table 2: the two equal Cu—O bond lengths [1.951 (8) and 1.952 (7) Å] should be compared with the Cu—F3 bond length (2.320 Å). The octahedron is also characterized by the O1—Cu—O2 plane, which is almost perpendicular to the (101) plane [93.0 (1)°].

The Si atom is coordinated by six fluorine atoms in the form of a far more regular octahedron: two Si—F bond lengths [1.693 (6) and 1.693 (7) Å] should be compared with the third Si—F3 bond length [1.658 (10) Å] and with the average value obtained in the $MSiF_6.6D_2O$ compounds (1.680 Å; Chevrier, 1992). Furthermore, the two equal Si—F bonds also define a plane perpendicular to (101) [89.1 (1)°]. The two types of octahedra are linked by a common F atom [the Cu—F3—Si angle is 153 (4)° and the angle between the planes O1—Cu— O2 and F1—Si—F2 is 26.1 (3)°] and form chains parallel to the [101] direction. It is also noteworthy that F3 lies almost in the (101) plane defined by the Cu atoms [0.029 (4) Å from the plane].

The conformations of the water molecules W1[O1—D11 = 0.962(8), O1—D12 = 0.953(8) Å and D11—O1—D12 = 105.5(13)°] and W2 [O2—D21 =



Fig. 1. A chain of $CuF_2(D_2O)_4$ and SiF_6 octahedra along the [101] axis in $CuSiF_6.4D_2O$ showing 90% probability displacement ellipsoids.

0.952 (10), O2—D22 = 0.957 (8) Å and D21—O2—D22 = $107.4(15)^{\circ}$] are perfectly defined, as shown Tables 2 and 3, and the molecular planes form an angle of $56.5(8)^{\circ}$. Furthermore, the W1 molecular plane contains O2 [maximum deviation from the mean least-squares plane: 0.012 (5) Å for O1; $\chi^2 = 9$].

The structure contains hydrogen bonds from W1 and W2 of one chain to the F atoms of six adjacent chains (Fig. 2). These define the two planes O1, D11, D12, F2ⁱⁱⁱ, F2^{iv} [maximum deviation from the mean leastsquares plane: -0.040(5) Å for D11; $\chi^2 = 98$] and O2, D21, D22, F1^v, F1^{vi} [maximum deviation from the mean least-squares plane: -0.029(5) Å for D22; $\chi^2 = 48$] inclined at an angle of 54.8 (2)°. The hydrogen bonds are probably of the bent type (Table 3) and the distances between D and F lie between 1.720(9) and 1.787 (9) Å. This means they are stronger than the bonds in the fluorosilicate hexahydrates [the average value from Chevrier & Saint-James (1990) and Chevrier (1991, 1992, 1994) is 1.838 Å], but are of the same order as the average value found in all $H \cdots F$ bonds (1.716 Å; Chiari & Ferraris, 1982).



Fig. 2. The hydrogen-bonding scheme showing the interactions between the water molecules of one chain and the F-atom octahedra within other chains (90% probability displacement ellipsoids).

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to the procedure described by Chevrier & Saint-James (1990) and mounted in an argon-filled aluminium container.

CuSiF ₆ .4D ₂ O	Neutron radiation
$M_r = 285.7$	$\lambda = 1.520$ (2) Å

Monoclinic $P2_1/c$ a = 5.33(2) Å b = 9.60(5) Å c = 7.18(5) Å $\beta = 105.16(1)^{\circ}$ V = 354 (8) Å³ Z = 2 $D_x = 2.679 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m not measured

Data collection

none

Refinement

R = 0.0647

S = 5.713

Cu

Si

FI F2

F3 01 02

D11 D12

D21

D22

wR = 0.0465

433 reflections

101 parameters

 $w = 1/\sigma^2(F^2)$

Refinement on F^2

Four-circle 6T2 diffractometer at the Orphee reactor Unique set between 45 and $120^{\circ} 2\theta$. ω scans between $2\theta = 2$ and 45° , $\omega - \theta$ scans between $2\theta = 45$ and 60°, and ω -2 θ scans between $2\theta = 60$ and 120° Absorption correction:

Cell parameters from 17 reflections $\theta = 12.5 - 36.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.0683 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ (estimated) T = 296 KPrism $4.00 \times 3.50 \times 3.00 \text{ mm}$ Blue

571 independent reflections 433 observed reflections $[F^2 > 2.5\sigma(F^2)]$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.046$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 60.0^{\circ}$ $h = -4 \rightarrow 6$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -8 \rightarrow 2$ 2 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: <0.5%

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.65 \text{ fm } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.75 \ {\rm fm} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: type II anisotropic (Coppens & Hamilton, 1970) Extinction coefficient: $G_{\rm eq} = 0.113(5)$ Atomic scattering factors $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.00007$ from Delapalme (1985)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\dot{A}^2)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

x	у	z	U_{eq}
0	0	0	0.0176 (9)
1/2	0	1/2	0.0083 (13)
0.7311 (7)	0.1050 (4)	0.4529 (6)	0.0274 (11)
0.3893 (8)	0.1334 (4)	0.6110 (5)	0.0255 (10)
0.2981 (7)	0.0471 (4)	0.2921 (5)	0.0305 (11)
0.1260 (8)	0.1582 (5)	-0.1203 (7)	0.0257 (12)
-0.2393 (7)	0.1269 (5)	0.0790 (7)	0.0236(12)
0.2204 (10)	0.1406 (5)	-0.2153 (8)	0.0361 (15)
0.2198 (11)	0.2301 (6)	-0.0398 (8)	0.0414 (17)
-0.2500 (10)	0.1226 (5)	0.2092 (10)	0.0364 (15)
-0.2427 (10)	0.2232 (5)	0.0437 (7)	0.0336 (16)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu01	1.951 (8)	Si—F3	1.658 (10)
Cu-O2	1.952 (7)	01-D11	0.962 (8)
Cu—F3	2.320 (13)	O1-D12	0.953 (8)
Si—F1	1.693 (6)	O2-D21	0.952 (10)
Si—F2	1.693 (7)	O2D22	0.957 (8)
O1-Cu-O2	88.3 (5)	F1—Si—F3	89.6 (6)
O1-Cu-O2 ⁱ	91.7 (7)	F1—Si—F3 ⁱⁱ	90.4 (7)
01-Cu-F3	90.8 (8)	F2SiF3	89.6 (8)
OI-Cu-F3 ⁱ	89.2 (6)	F2—Si—F3"	90.4 (6)

759 measured reflections

02-Cu-F3	87.8 (6)	D11-01-D12	105.5 (13)
O2-Cu-F3 ⁱ	92.2 (8)	D21-02-D22	107.4 (15)
F1-Si-F2	90.3 (6)	CuF3-Si	153 (4)
F1-Si-F2 ⁱⁱ	89.7 (7)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z; (ii) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z.

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	
$O1-D11\cdots F2^i$	0.962 (8)	1.720 (9)	2.676 (12)	172.2 (6)	
O1-D12···F2 ⁱⁱ	0.953 (8)	1.787 (9)	2.740 (12)	178.8 (6)	
02D21F1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.952 (10)	1.786 (14)	2.737 (18)	177.0 (6)	
02-D22···F1	0.957 (8)	1.764 (10)	2.718 (14)	173.7 (5)	
Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y, z - 1$; (ii) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x - 1, y, z$; (iv)					
$x-1, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}.$					

The rate of deuteration of the crystals was obtained from the occupation parameters of the D-atom sites, which were constrained to the same value: we obtained 0.749 (9), which leads to a deuteration rate of 0.839 (3). From the coordinates obtained by Clark, Fleming & Lynton (1969) and with the D atoms located from a difference synthesis, the structure was refined by the full-matrix least-squares method based on F^2 . Using a type II anisotropic extinction correction (Coppens & Hamilton, 1970), the coefficients z_{11} , z_{22} , z_{33} , z_{23} , z_{13} and z_{12} ($z_{ij} = W'_{ij} \times 10^{-4}$) obtained were 0.0063 (9), 0.0070 (11), 0.0201 (41), -0.0057 (15), -0.0010 (9) and -0.0002 (5), respectively, which leads to values of 0.230, 0.187 and 0.096 μ m for the principal axes of the ellipsoid of the average crystallite shape.

The computer programs used were *SHELXL93* (Sheldrick, 1993) and *ORXFLS4* (Busing, Martin, Levy, Brown, Ellison, Hamilton, Ibers, Johnson & Thiessen, 1977) on PC and C1-XP computers.

We are very grateful to A. Forget and D. Colson for the preparation of the crystals.

Lists of structure factors and anisotropic displacement parameters have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BR1141). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Busing, W. R., Martin, K. O., Levy, H. A., Brown, G. M., Ellison, R. D., Hamilton, W. C., Ibers, J. A., Johnson, C. K. & Thiessen, W. E. (1977). ORXFLS4. Crystallographic Structure-Factor Least-Squares Program. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee 37830, USA.
- Chevrier, G. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 224-228.
- Chevrier, G. (1992). J. Solid State Chem. 99, 276-282.
- Chevrier, G. (1994). J. Solid State Chem. 111, 322-329.
- Chevrier, G. & Saint-James, R. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 186-189.
- Chiari, G. & Ferraris, G. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 2331-2341.
- Clark, M. J. R., Fleming, J. E. & Lynton, H. (1969). Can. J. Chem. 47, 3859–3861.
- Coppens, P. & Hamilton, W. C. (1970). Acta Cryst. A26, 71-83.
- Delapalme, A. (1985). Propriétés des éléments pour la diffusion des neutrons. Internal Report DPhG.SDN/85/, LLB-CENS, France.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2123-2125

$La_3(Si_2O_7)Cl_3$

JIU-TONG CHEN,* GUO-CONG GUO, JIN-SHUN HUANG AND QIAN-ER ZHANG

State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

(Received 13 May 1994; accepted 1 November 1995)

Abstract

The crystal structure of trilanthanum trichloride pyrosilicate, $La_3(Si_2O_7)Cl_3$, has been determined. The main building units are $La(1)Cl_4O_5$, $La(2)Cl_5O_5$ and $La(3)Cl_3O_6$ polyhedra, and Si_2O_7 pyrosilicate groups.

Comment

The structures of the lanthanum chloride silicates $La_3(SiO_4)_2Cl$ (Gravereau, Es-Sakhi & Fouassier, 1988) and $La_3(SiO_4)Cl_5$ (Gravereau, Es-Sakhi & Fouassier, 1989) have been determined previously. These compounds show interesting luminescent properties.



Fig. 1. The crystal structure of $La_3(Si_2O_7)Cl_3$ with ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.

© 1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved